

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/844,273	04/30/2001	Siegfried Ruthardt	R.37659	1054
2119 7	590 04/30/2004		EXAMINER	
RONALD E. GREIGG			GORMAN, DARREN W	
GREIGG & GREIGG P.L.L.C. 1423 POWHATAN STREET, UNIT ONE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	A, VA 22314		3752	
			DATE MAILED: 04/30/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/844,273	RUTHARDT ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Darren W Gorman	3752	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 02 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	•	talle of the second	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejectine FINAL REJECTION.	on. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	unt of the fee. The approriginally set in the final	ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI			
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) _ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claim	S.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>3,4,8,10-13,19,22,24,27, and 2</u>	8 .		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,7,9,18,23,26,29 and 30</u> .	,		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 5,6,14-17,2	20,21 and 25.		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app		he Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen			
10. Other:		hal Man	

MICHAEL MAR SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700 Continuation of 2. NOTE: Regarding claim 2, which Applicant amended into independent form in the After Final Amendment, still would not overcome the 112 2nd paragraph rejection as specified by this office on January 9, 2004 and October 3, 2003. Specifically, Applicant's embodiments shown in the submitted drawings, do not show clearly a "bore" of any kind embodied centrally in the nozzle needle. Further, even if Applicant's drawings were to show such an embodiment having a bore embodied centrally in the nozzle needle, the prior art would only have to teach one of a bore embodied centrally of the nozzle needle OR the guide sleeve. Clearly, with regard to the prior art of record to Koenigswieser, guide sleeve (29) includes a centrally embodied bore (47) into which the end of the valve piston assembly (49) is received.

Further NOTE: with regard to claim 2, lines 4-5 in the After Final Amendment appear to have a several letters unintentionally omitted.

Further NOTE: with regard to independent claims 1, 2, 10, and 12, the preamble of claim 1 of Applicant's amendment filed on December 31, 2003 was slightly different than the preamble of the above listed independent claims filed in the After Final Amendment. Specifically "In an injector" somehow became "The fuel injector" in the preamble. This change was made without proper amendment mark-up notations in claim 1 of the After Final Amendment as required by 37 CFR 1.121.

Further NOTE: claims 21 and 22, being linked to independent claim 1, recite the same limitation as the added limitation of claim 1 in the After Final Amendment. Therefore, claims 21 and 22 would be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 (c) for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.